



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1088/2057-1976/2/4/047002](https://doi.org/10.1088/2057-1976/2/4/047002)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Jin, T., Li, L., Slow, R. C., & Liu, K. (2016). Collagen matrix stiffness influences fibroblast contraction force. *Biomedical Physics & Engineering Express*, 2(4), [047002]. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2057-1976/2/4/047002>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Collagen Matrix Stiffness Influences Fibroblast Contraction Force

Tianrong Jin¹, Li Li², Richard CM Siow^{*2} and Kuo-Kang Liu^{*1}

¹ School of Engineering, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom.

² Cardiovascular Division, Faculty of Life Sciences and Medicine, King's College London, London, United Kingdom.

* Authors contributed equally to this study

E-mail: I.K-K.Liu@warwick.ac.uk

ORCID: TJ, 0000-0002-3146-4268; K-KL, 0000-0003-1871-9876

Abstract. Cell-embedded hydrogel has been widely used as engineered tissue equivalents in biomedical applications. In this study, contraction force in human aortic adventitial fibroblasts seeded within a 3D collagen matrix was quantified by a novel force sensing technique. We demonstrate that contraction forces in cells treated with histamine are regulated by the gel stiffness in a linear manner. These findings provide novel insights for the design of collagen-based biomaterials for tissue engineering and clinical applications.

1. Introduction

The culture of cells within 3D matrices has been used for several decades [1]. The behaviours of cells in tissue-like matrices, such as migration, contraction and proliferation, exhibit an ideal model of their *in vivo* phenotype. Several materials have been developed for the synthesis of cellular encapsulated hydrogels. Collagen, alginate, fibrin and agarose are extensively used as the natural polymer ingredients to construct engineered tissue equivalents [2]. Among these, collagen has been recognised as one of the major components in connective tissues, which forms stable fibrils and provides multiple cellular binding sites [3, 4]. Fibroblasts are the main cell type that influence remodelling and biosynthesis of collagen fibrils [1]. As the structure of collagen provides a mechanically stable framework, the contractile properties of fibroblasts seeded within a collagen matrix provides a good model for investigating tissue physiology and pathology.

Currently, one of the most prevailing techniques to obtain cellularized collagen gels is modified from the method of Bell *et al* [5]. Measuring the contraction of cells embedded in 3D matrices is relatively straight forward using the conventional collagen gel contraction assay. When cells

start to contract, the collagen matrix normally exhibits a noticeable reduction in size. However, previous studies using collagen gel-based assays to measure fibroblast contractility were limited to only examining the changes in overall gel radius and hence an accurate determination of cell contraction force was difficult to achieve. The concentrations of collagen used to form the gels reported in research studies are very different. Therefore even a same degree of gel radius shrinkage does not provide an accurate representation of the same magnitude of contraction force, because the quantity of matrix stiffness needs to be considered in the determination of cellular contraction forces [6]. To date, there are very few reports demonstrating that the stiffness of collagen matrices can influence cellular contraction forces.

At the site of vascular diseases such as atherosclerosis, arterial injury leads to enhanced adventitial fibroblast contraction and changes in the extra cellular matrix (ECM) contributing to vessel remodelling and restenosis [7]. Collagen fibrils form a tightly aligned structure due to the synthesis of connective tissues following vessel injury and inflammation. Fibroblasts can produce a large amount of collagen protein to increase the overall tissue tensile strength that contributes to vascular stiffening and impaired function [8]. The presence of differentiated contractile myofibroblast has been associated with the increase of ECM stiffness and they mediate the production of force for wound contraction [9]. The interaction between fibroblasts and various ECM components has been investigated using a number of engineering tools. These methods include cell populated micropillar substrates [10] or Traction Force Microscopy (TFM) [11, 12]. For both methods, cell contraction force is determined indirectly based on the relative displacement of substrate materials, such as the deflections of micropillars or the movements of fluorescence microbeads embedded polymer substrate. However, both of these methods do not provide an accurate assessment of cell behaviour as they only measure the contraction of fibroblasts on a two-dimensional surface while the actual physiological environment *in vivo* for cell contraction within the extracellular matrix is three-dimensional.

To overcome these challenges in the accurate measurements of cell contraction force, we have recently developed a novel nano-indentation device to measure the gel elasticity, and in combination with mathematical modelling, cell contraction force can be determined accurately based on the measured thickness and area of a disk-shaped cell-embedded collagen matrix [13]. In this study, we have applied the new technique to investigate the effect of collagen gel stiffness on cell contraction force in a quantitative manner.

Materials and Method

Confluent cultures of human aortic adventitial fibroblasts (HAoAF, PromoCell, Germany) were detached from flasks using trypsin/EDTA and cells were re-suspended in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) containing 5% fetal calf serum (FCS). Cells were then mixed with culture medium containing collagen I on ice, to achieve a final density of 2.16×10^5 cells/gel in DMEM containing 2mM L-glutamine, 100 IU/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin, 5% FCS and different collagen concentrations of 1.5, 2.0 and 2.5 mg/ml. The collagen matrix cell suspension was transferred into 35 mm Petri dishes (1.2 ml/dish) and incubated in a culture incubator (37°C, 5% CO₂) for 20 minutes to allow gel polymerisation before addition of a further 1.5 ml DMEM containing 5% FCS. After incubation overnight, the culture medium was replaced with fresh DMEM containing 5% FCS. Some dishes were then stimulated with the agonist histamine (100 µM) to elicit cell contraction. Collagen gels were then immediately dislodged from the dish using a sterile spatula with gel thickness and elasticity measurements conducted 5 hours later.

Histamine was employed in this study to elicit HAoAF contraction as it has been well characterised to act via G-protein coupled receptors. Upon activation, it triggers calcium release from the internal stores, leading to calmodulin-dependent myosin light chain (MLC) kinase activation resulting in MLC phosphorylation and subsequent actin-myosin crossbridge formation and cellular contractions [14-16]. We demonstrated in our previous study that addition of histamine (100 µM) increased single HAoAF cell contraction force by two-fold and contractions were attenuated by treatment with ML-7, an inhibitor of MLC kinase [13]. Therefore, we used histamine (100 µM) in this study to elicit short-term contraction events in HAoAF.




Fig 1. (A) The schematic setup of bio-nano-indentation tester. (B) Typical loading force-displacement curves of collagen gels with higher and lower stiffness indented by a flat punch.

A depth-sensing indentation device (Fig 1A) was used to measure the thickness and Young's modulus of the cell-embedded collagen gel. The system has ultimate force and displacement resolutions of 10 nN and 100 nm, respectively. The gel indentation was performed at a controlled speed of 40 $\mu\text{m/sec}$ to generate force-displacement curve. The first 30% of the curve was extracted to determine Young's modulus (E) by fitting a non-linear strain dependent elasticity model as shown in Fig 1B. The Young's modulus E_0 of collagen gel was determined from the measured **Force-Displacement** (F - D) curve fitting with the following non-linear mechanical equation [13], which was derived based on Hertz contact theory [17] in combination with non-linear strain dependent elasticity [18].

$$F = E_0 \cdot \frac{2rh}{(1-\nu^2)} \cdot \frac{\bar{\epsilon} - \bar{\epsilon}^2 + \frac{\bar{\epsilon}^3}{3}}{(1-\bar{\epsilon})^2} \quad (1)$$

Where E_0 and ν are Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio of collagen gel, respectively, **F is the indentation force sensed by the force transducer**, r is the radius of indenter, and $\bar{\epsilon}$ is applied strain which can be calculated as the ratio of the indentation displacement D normalized by the gel thickness h , i.e., $\bar{\epsilon} = D/h$. The value of ν can be assumed as 0.5 if collagen gel is considered as an incompressible material, that is, the total volume of gel is constant under deformation.

At equilibrium status, the cell contraction force (F^*) is balanced by the elastic restoration force of the deformed or shrunk gel and can be expressed by the following equation:

$$F^* = \pi(h_0 + h_1)(r_0 - r_1) E_0 \cdot \frac{1 - \epsilon^* + \frac{\epsilon^{*2}}{3}}{(1 - \epsilon^*)^2} \quad (2)$$

where h and r with subscript 0 and 1 represent the thickness and radius of collagen gel at beginning and end of contraction, and ϵ^* is the overall strain generated due to gel shrinkage, i.e., $\epsilon^* = (r_0 - r_1)/r_0$ (see Fig 2).




Fig 2. (A) Schematic of geometric parameters of collagen gel were measured before and after contraction for calculating contraction force. (B) Top view images of typical HAoAF-embedded collagen gel before and after 5 hours histamine (100 μ M) treatment. The areas circled with orange and blues lines denote the sizes of Petri dish (35 mm in diameter) and collagen gels respectively.

2. Results

As illustrated in Fig 2, when fibroblast starts to contract, the contraction force will lead to gel radius shrinkage with a concomitant change in thickness of the disk-shaped gel. The thickness and radius of collagen gel were measured at the beginning and end of treatment to determine the overall fibroblast contraction force (see Eq. (2)). Ten random positions were measured to calculate average gel thickness. The depth sensing indentation device was used to measure displacement difference from the gel top surface to the Petri dish surface. The top view images of the disc-shaped collagen were captured and used to measure the gel radius before and after shrinkage by referencing the culture surface within the Petri dishes.

Fig 3A shows the results derived from measurement of Young's moduli of both the treated and untreated gels, demonstrating a dose-dependent increase with collagen concentrations. Interestingly, histamine treatment slightly reduces the Young's modulus of collagen gel compared with the untreated gels at the same collagen concentration. Fig 3B shows the overall contraction force of fibroblasts which was calculated based on the Eq. (2) using the measured geometric parameters and Young's modulus of the gel. The result shows the contraction force decrease as the collagen concentration increases. It was evident that histamine treatments doubled overall contraction cell force in every concentration group. Fig 3C demonstrates that cell contraction force decreases monotonically as the gel concentration increases. Histamine treated gels exhibited a significant linear regression model in the overall contraction force against collagen concentration ($P=0.0002$) compared with untreated cells ($P=0.16$).




Fig 3. HAoAF were embedded into Type I collagen gels, formed at concentrations of 1.5, 2.0 and 2.5 mg/ml. (A) Young's modulus of each gel at different collagen concentration. (B) Overall contraction force per gel in the absence or presence of histamine treatments (100 μ M, 5 hours). (C) Linear regression fitting of overall cell contraction force. Data denote mean \pm s.e.m from three independent measurements (N=3). *P*-Value was calculated using one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test. **** $p<0.0005$; ** $p<0.05$; * $p<0.5$; ### $p<0.005$; # $p<0.5$.

3. Discussion

In this study, adventitial fibroblast contraction forces were measured based on cell-populated collagen gels to investigate the effect of gel stiffness on overall contraction force generated by the fibroblasts. The Young's modulus (or stiffness) of collagen gel, as calculated by the non-linear strain dependent mechanical model [18, 19], demonstrates a clear increase as the gel collagen concentration increases. The increase in the gel Young's modulus regulated fibroblast contraction force as the cell contractility was significantly reduced in the stiffer matrices. Moreover, the addition of the agonist histamine elicited significant fibroblast contraction forces. In all collagen concentration groups, the histamine treated fibroblasts exhibited a two-fold increase in their contraction forces (Fig 3B) despite the decrease in Young's modulus of the collagen gels (Fig 3A). This proves the Young's modulus of gel matrices should be considered as a key parameter to properly assess cellular contraction forces using gel-based assays.

The results clearly demonstrate that the mechanical properties of collagen matrices will influence the contractile responses of fibroblasts. Cells in stiffer (higher Young's modulus) materials exhibit a significant reduction in their contractility measured by our novel method, which is comparable to the results obtained by using other techniques, such as CFM [20]. For histamine-induced cell contraction assay, we showed a more significant decrease of overall contraction force as the matrix stiffness increases. With the activation of myosin light chain phosphorylation mediated by the agonist histamine, cell contractility increases, however, fibroblast contraction force will also be affected by the interaction between the cells and the collagen matrix. The detailed mechanisms of how the cells translate the sensing of substrate stiffness into the downstream signals for regulating contraction requires further biomechanical studies. In the blood vessel wall, inflammatory disease processes would increase ECM collagen content thereby causing vascular dysfunction due to enhanced stiffness which impacts on the contractility of resident fibroblasts.

In the earlier reports, a loss of mass has been shown in fibroblast collagen gel assays during cell contraction process [5]. This finding also implies that there were a potential loss in cell numbers in the collagen-gel-based contraction assay for long-term (> 48 hours) measurements. Hence, a certain degree of inaccuracy is likely in the determination of fibroblast contraction force using previous techniques (e.g. culture force monitor (CFM) [21, 22], because the mass

and cell loss may cause alterations in the mechanical properties of the collagen matrix. However, since the treatment time in our current study is only 5 hours, there was likely to be no significant loss of cells or gel mass.

4. Conclusion

We have demonstrated that in a 3D collagen matrix, the cells exhibit different levels of contractility depending on the collagen concentration and gel stiffness. The finding confirms that the mechanical properties of the collagen matrix should be considered in the cellular contraction events induced by physiological changes (e.g. effect of agonist treatments). Different cell types may have varying degrees of response depending on the mechanism of their sensing of the substrate stiffness. Overall, the study has shown the importance of matrix stiffness on cell contraction forces in the design of collagen-based biomaterials for clinical applications.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a grant from Leverhulme Trust (PRG-2012-738) awarded to K.-K.L and a grant from the British Heart Foundation (FS/09/037/27827) awarded to R.C.M.S.

References

1. Grinnell F, Petroll WM. 2010 Cell motility and mechanics in three-dimensional collagen matrices. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* **26**, 335-61.
2. Ahearne M. 2014 Introduction to cell-hydrogel mechanosensing. *Interface Focus* **4**, 20130038.
3. Orgel JPRO, Miller A, Irving TC, Fischetti RF, Hammersley AP, Wess TJ. 2001 The In Situ Supermolecular Structure of Type I Collagen. *Structure* **9**, 1061-9.
4. Bella J, Eaton M, Brodsky B, Berman HM. 1994 Crystal and molecular structure of a collagen-like peptide at 1.9 Å resolution. *Science* **266**, 75-81.
5. Bell E, Ivarsson B, Merrill C. 1979 Production of a tissue-like structure by contraction of collagen lattices by human fibroblasts of different proliferative potential in vitro. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **76**, 1274-8.
6. Ahearne M, Wilson SL, Liu K-K, Rauz S, El Haj AJ, Yang Y. 2010 Influence of cell and collagen concentration on the cell-matrix mechanical relationship in a corneal stroma wound healing model. *Experimental Eye Research* **91**, 584-91.
7. Travis JA, Hughes MG, Wong JM, Wagner WD, Geary RL. 2001 Hyaluronan enhances contraction of collagen by smooth muscle cells and adventitial fibroblasts: Role of CD44 and implications for constrictive remodeling. *Circulation research* **88**, 77-83.
8. Grinnell F. 1994 Fibroblasts, myofibroblasts, and wound contraction. *J Cell Biol* **124**, 401-4.

9. Mutsaers SE, Bishop JE, McGrouther G, Laurent GJ. 1997 Mechanisms of tissue repair: from wound healing to fibrosis. *The International Journal of Biochemistry & Cell Biology* **29**, 5-17.
10. Schoen I, Hu W, Klotzsch E, Vogel V. 2010 Probing cellular traction forces by micropillar arrays: contribution of substrate warping to pillar deflection. *Nano letters* **10**, 1823-30.
11. Butler JP, Tolić-Nørrelykke IM, Fabry B, Fredberg JJ. 2002 Traction fields, moments, and strain energy that cells exert on their surroundings. *American Journal of Physiology-Cell Physiology* **282**, C595-C605.
12. Dembo M, Wang Y-L. 1999 Stresses at the Cell-to-Substrate Interface during Locomotion of Fibroblasts. *Biophysical Journal* **76**, 2307-16.
13. Jin T, Li L, Siow RCM, Liu K-K. 2015 A novel collagen gel-based measurement technique for quantitation of cell contraction force. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface* **12**.
14. Ehrlich HP, Rockwell WB, Cornwell TL, Rajaratnam JB. 1991 Demonstration of a direct role for myosin light chain kinase in fibroblast-populated collagen lattice contraction. *Journal of cellular physiology* **146**, 1-7.
15. Dallon JC, Ehrlich HP. 2008 A review of fibroblast-populated collagen lattices. *Wound repair and regeneration : official publication of the Wound Healing Society [and] the European Tissue Repair Society* **16**, 472-9.
16. Horie M, Saito A, Yamauchi Y, Mikami Y, Sakamoto M, Jo T, et al. 2014 Histamine induces human lung fibroblast-mediated collagen gel contraction via histamine H1 receptor. *Experimental lung research* **40**, 222-36.
17. Heinrich H. 1881 Über die Berührung fester elastischer Körper. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* **92**.
18. Tatara Y. 1991 On compression of rubber elastic sphere over a large range of displacements—part 1: theoretical study. *Journal of engineering materials and technology* **113**, 285-91.
19. Johnson KL. 1987 *Contact mechanics*: Cambridge university press.
20. Karamichos D, Brown RA, Mudera V. 2007 Collagen stiffness regulates cellular contraction and matrix remodeling gene expression. *Journal of biomedical materials research Part A* **83**, 887-94.
21. Delvoye P, Wiliquet P, Levêque J-L, Nusgens BV, Lapière CM. 1991 Measurement of mechanical forces generated by skin fibroblasts embedded in a three-dimensional collagen gel. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology* **97**, 898-902.
22. Kolodney MS, Wysolmerski RB. 1992 Isometric contraction by fibroblasts and endothelial cells in tissue culture: a quantitative study. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **117**, 73-82.